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## 1 Introduction

The goal of this exercise is to formally prove the correctness of an algorithm to perform binary search in a sorted array. We want to know the position $r$ of a value $v$ which we know is in a vector $f$ that stores values in non-decreasing order (Section A). We previously showed and proved correct (w.r.t. some invariants) an Event-B specification which performs this search using (i) a random choice in the vector (Section B) and (ii) a random choice in a shrinking window bounded by $p$ and $q$ (Section C).

## 2 Tasks in this exercise

We want to eliminate the non-determinism in the selection of $r$ : we want $r$ to be placed in the point in the middle of $p$ and $q$ (approximately; when $q-p$ is odd the midpoint does not correspond to a position, but that should not be a problem). The rest is as before: either $p$ or $q$ is updated depending on where $f(r)$ lies w.r.t. $v$.

Your tasks are:

1. Download and install RODIN. ${ }^{1}$ Remember to install the Atelier $B$ provers. See
[^0]```
http://babel.ls.fi.upm.es/rsd/#rodin-tool
```

in the course web page and the instructions at
http://babel.ls.fi.upm.es/teaching/rsd/Slides/03-binary-search-refinement.pdf
In addition, the page
https://www3.hhu.de/stups/handbook/rodin/current/html/proving_perspective.html
has a good explanation of the meaning of every item in the proving perspective - that should cover what we saw in the lecture on the refinement of the binary search. I recommend reading it thoroughly.
2. Download the .zip file with the project up to the second version (there is a link in the course web page) and import it into Rodin. See
https://www3.hhu.de/stups/handbook/rodin/current/html/sect0033.html
and the slides for instructions on how to import a project.
3. Generate your version of the second refinement with the selection policy mentioned above. It is possible to refine either BS_M0 or BS_M1. Refining BS_M1 should leave fewer proofs to discharge, so that is my recommendation. ${ }^{2}$
There are two ways to do that:

- (Recommended) Have Rodin to generate a template for you and change it:
(a) Right click on BS_M1 (or BS_M1), select Refine, give the new machine a name. The refined machine will be duplicated and all guards and actions will appear dimmed.
(b) If you need to edit any event, click on the extended keyword so that it changes to not extended and you will be able to modify its code.
Initially, you will not see invariants or invariant-related proof obligations in this refined machine - it is the same as previous one, and the invariants from the previous machine still hold. You can add invariants if you think they are necessary. Also, if you introduce new variables, you will have to add new invariants for them.

[^1]- (Equally valid, basically same results, slightly more work) Create a new machine, declare that it refines BS_M1 adding a REFINES section (see machine BS_M1), write the events that refine the previous events (you can use the same names) and declare what event refines every new event.

4. Prove in Rodin the proof obligations not automatically discharged (those marked in brown). I recommend repeating what I did in the binary search lecture to get used to the interface of Rodin (which amounts to lassoing, instantiating, and using P0). Reversing the implication inside the universal quantifier appear helps, but instantiating the correct part of left hand side is the key point.
Save the project after every discharged proof to update the proof status.
5. When all the proofs are discharged, export the project to a .zip file. See
https://www3.hhu.de/stups/handbook/rodin/current/html/sect0032.html
and the instructions in the slides for the 04/12/2019 session.
6. Check that you have exported it correctly:

- Temporarily rename the project you were working on.
- Import the project you just saved as explained before (follow
https://www3.hhu.de/stups/handbook/rodin/current/html/sect0033.html)
or the instructions in the slides for the 04/12/2019 session..
- Expand machines, check that all POs have been discharged.

7. Send it to me by email: manuel.carro@upm.es.
8. Deadline: Dec. 20 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ 2019, 20:59.

## A Context: Axioms and constants

```
CONTEXT BS_C0
CONSTANTS
    n
    f
    v
AXIOMS
    axm1: }n\in\mp@subsup{\mathbb{N}}{1}{
    axm3: f\in1..n->\mathbb{N}
    axm4: }\foralli\cdot\forallj\cdot(i\in1..n\wedgej\in1..n\wedgei\leqj)=>f(i)\leqf(j
    axm5: v
END
```


## B Random selection of a location within the vector

MACHINE BS_M0
SEES BS_C0
VARIABLES
r
INVARIANTS
inv1: $\quad r \in \operatorname{dom}(f)$
EVENTS
Initialisation
begin
act1: $r: \in 1 . . n$ Should be dom(f) but that will force us to use PP to prove FIS. For simplicity we leave it like this.
end
Event final $\langle$ ordinary $\rangle \widehat{=}$
when
grd2: $\quad f(r)=v$
then
skip
end
Event progress 〈anticipated〉 $\widehat{=}$ when
grd1: $\quad f(r) \neq v$
then
act1: $r: \in \operatorname{dom}(f)$
end
END

## C Random selection of location place within a shrinking window

MACHINE BS＿M1
REFINES BS＿M0
SEES BS＿C0
VARIABLES
r
p
q
INVARIANTS
inv1：$p \in 1 . . n$
inv2：$q \in 1 . . n$ inv3：$r \in p . . q$
inv4：$v \in f[p . . q]$
VARIANT
$q-p$
EVENTS
Initialisation
begin
act1：$p:=1$
act2：$q:=n$
act3：$r: \in 1 . . n$
end
Event final 〈ordinary〉 $\widehat{=}$
refines final
when
grd2：$f(r)=v$
then skip
end
Event inc 〈convergent〉 $\widehat{=}$
refines progress
when
grd1：$f(r)<v$
then
act2：$p:=r+1$
act3：$r: \in r+1 . . q$
end
Event dec $\langle$ convergent $\rangle \hat{=}$
refines progress
when
grd1：$f(r)>v$
then
act1：$q:=r-1$
act2：$r: \in p . . r-1$
end
END


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ I suppose you have already done it!

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ The guards can remain the same, so there is nothing to prove in that respect. The actions should change, so a SIM(ulation) proof is necessary. Note the beauty that if the SIMulation of the actions in BS_M2 is proved, then the invariants in BS_M1 are preserved by the actions in BS_M2, because these can traverse only a subset of the states that BS_M1 does!

